

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/583,959	05/31/2000	Mark Joseph Hamzy	AUS9-2000-0068-US1	2343	
75	90 11/14/2003		EXAM	INER	
Marilyn Smith Dawkins			CHANG, JUNGWON		
	isiness Machines Corporat perty Law Department	ion	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Internal Zip 405	4 11400 Burnet Road		2154		
Austin, TX 78		•	DATE MAILED: 11/14/2003	, 0	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\
	09/583,959	HAMZY ET AL.	9
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jungwon Chang	2154	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence addres	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a re ply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication.	nication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 (October 2003.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowations closed in accordance with the practice under			rits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/e	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers	or olookon roquiroment.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompanies and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand ction is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	` '
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form P1O-19	52.
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language profile 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language profile 14.	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Apporting documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not related priority under 35 U.S.C. of the specifical revisional application has bestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	eplication No received in this National Stag received. § 119(e) (to a provisional app tion or in an Application Data en received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a sp	olication) a Sheet.
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Inf	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/583,959 Page 2

Art Unit: 2154

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-18 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-12 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stephen Downes, "Deep Links", hereinafter Downes.
- 4. As to claims 1 and 14, Downes discloses the invention substantially as claimed, including a method, in a server data processing system (i.e., web site/server), for servicing a request for a resource requested by client data processing system (i.e., user; page 2, paragraphs 5,6), comprising:

determining if the resource requires at least one prerequisite resource (page 1, paragraphs 1, 3); and

sending a different resource (page 1, paragraphs 3-5) having a content combining of the requested resource content and at least a portion of content of at least one prerequisite resource if the requested resource requires the prerequisite resource

A 11 11 10 1

Application/Control Number: 09/583,959

Art Unit: 2154

(page 2, paragraph 6).

- 5. Downes does not specifically disclose receiving the request for the resource. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that user browser generates HTTP GET request to a server to receive a desired resource is well known in the art.
- 6. As to claims 2-7, Downes does not specifically disclose keeping tack of each request made for the at least one prerequisite resource for each requester within a tracking parameter. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that cookie is a small data file stored on user's computer or server. The cookie file contains information, such as a user ID, password, user IP address, issue time, and last hit time that allow a system administrator to track user history and preferences is well known in the art.
- 7. As to claim 8, Downes discloses determining which at least one resource is the at least one prerequisite resource (page 1, paragraphs 1, 3).
- 8. As to claims 9-12, Downes further discloses modification comprises merging the content of the prerequisite resource with the content of the requested resource (page 2, paragraph 6).

Page 3.

Application/Control Number: 09/583,959

Art Unit: 2154

9. As to claims 15 and 16, they are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 2-7 above.

Page 4

- 10. Claims 13, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stephen Downes, "Deep Links", hereinafter Downes, as applied to claims 1-12 and 14-16, further in view of Nakamura et al. (US 6,591,248 B1), hereinafter Nakamura.
- 11. As to claims 13 and 17, they are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 1 and 14 above. Downes does not specifically disclose the requested resource can be reached by following links from the another resource content. However, Nakamura discloses the requested resource can be reached by following links from the another resource content (col. 4, lines 29-44). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Downes and Nakamura because Nakmura's selecting appropriate hyperlinks (i.e., urls) on another resource content (i.e., web page) would allow a user to view the resource of interest.
- 12. As to claim 18, they are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 1, 13, 14 and 17 above. Downes does not specifically discloses a program, having computer readable program code means, on a computer usable medium, for servicing a request for a resource requested by a client data processing system. However, Nakamura discloses a program, having computer readable program code means, on a computer usable medium, for servicing a request for a resource requested by a client data processing system (col. 1,

Application/Control Number: 09/583,959

Art Unit: 2154

lines 13-22). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to combine the teachings of Downes and Nakamura because

Nakmura's program (i.e., browser) would allow a user to communicate with a server.

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure:

Angles et al., patent 6,385,592 B1 disclose that custom advertisements can be merged

with electronic documents obtained from the content provider.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jungwon Chang whose telephone number is (703)305-

9669. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00 (Monday-Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (703)308-9052. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)746-7239 for

regular communications and (703)746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-

9669.

Jungwon Chang November 10, 2003

ZAHNI MAUIYA

Page 5